arXiv:1111.5692v1 [math.AP] 24 Nov 2011

LARGE TIME BEHAVIOUR OF HIGHER DIMENSIONAL LOGARITHMIC DIFFUSION EQUATION

KIN MING HUI AND SUNGHOON KIM

Abstract. Let $n \geq 3$ and ψ_{λ_0} be the radially symmetric solution of $\Delta \log \psi + 2\beta\psi + \beta x \cdot \nabla\psi = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\psi(0) = \lambda_0$, for some constants $\lambda_0 > 0$, $\beta > 0$. Suppose $u_0 \geq 0$ satisfies $u_0 - \psi_{\lambda_0} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u_0(x) \approx \frac{2(n-2)}{\beta}\frac{\log|x|}{|x|^2}$ as $|x| \to \infty$. We prove that the rescaled solution $\widetilde{u}(x,t) = e^{2\beta t}u(e^{\beta t}x,t)$ of the maximal global solution u of the equation $u_t = \Delta \log u$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$, $u(x,0) = u_0(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to ψ_{λ_0} as $t \to \infty$. Moreover $\|\widetilde{u}(\cdot,t) - \psi_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq e^{-(n-2)\beta t}\|u_0 - \psi_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

1. Introduction

In this paper we will study the asymptotic large time behaviour of the solution of the equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \triangle \log u, u > 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

for $n \geq 3$. When $n = 1$, P.L. Lions and G. Toscani have proved that (1.1) arises as the diffusive limit for finite velocity Boltzmann kinetic models [LT] and T. Kurtz [K] has showed that (1.1) arises as the limiting distribution of two gases moving against each other and obeying the Boltzmann equation. When $n = 2$, the above equation arises in the study of Ricci flow on the complete $\mathbb{R}^2$ [W1], [W2]. (1.1) also arises as the singular limit [ERV], [H2], as $m \to 0$ of the following class of degenerate parabolic equation,

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta(u^m/m) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

It is known that (1.2) arises in many physical models. For example when $m = 1/2$, (1.2) arises in the study of the diffusion of impurities in silicon [Ki]. When $m > 1$, (1.2) arises in the study of gases through porous media [A], [P]. Interested reader can read the book [DK] by P. Daskalopoulos and C.E. Kenig for the recent results on (1.1) and (1.2).

Existence of infinitely many finite mass solutions of (1.1) for $n = 2$ and $0 \leq u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for some $p > 1$ is proved by P. Daskalopoulos and M.A. del Pino [DP1] and K.M. Hui [H1]. Global existence and uniqueness of solutions of (1.1) for $n = 2$ is proved by P. Daskalopoulos and M.A. del Pino [DP1] and S.Y. Hsu [Hs1]. Global existence of solution of (1.1) for $n \geq 3$ is proved by P. Daskalopoulos, M.A. del Pino, and K.M. Hui in [DP2], [H3]. Large time behaviour of solution of (1.1) for $n = 2$ is proved by S.Y. Hsu in [Hs3], [Hs4].

Extinction profile of solutions of (1.2) for $0 < m < (n-2)/n$ and $n \geq 3$ is studied by P. Daskalopoulos and N. Sesum in [DS1]. Extinction profile of maximal solutions of (1.1) in

---

Date: Nov 23, 2011.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B40 Secondary 35K57, 35K65.

Key words and phrases. logarithmic diffusion equation, global solution, asymptotic behaviour.

$\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T)$ for $n=2$ near the extinction time $T>0$ is studied by P. Daskalopoulos, M.A. del Pino, N. Sesum and K.M. Hui [DP3], [DS2], [H4]. Extinction profile of maximal solutions of (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T)$ for $n=3$ and $n\ge 5$ near the extinction time $T>0$ with initial value u_0 satisfying the condition

$$B_{k_1}(x,0) \le u_0(x) \le B_{k_2}(x,0)$$

where

$$B_k(x,t) = \frac{2(n-2)(T-t)_+^{\frac{n}{n-2}}}{k+(T-t)_+^{\frac{2}{n-2}}|x|^2}, \qquad k>0, \tag{1.3}$$

is the Barenblatt solution of (1.1) is studied by K.M. Hui and S. Kim in [HK].

We will now assume that $n\ge 3$ and let $\beta>0$ be a fixed constant for the rest of the paper. For any $\lambda>0$, let $\psi=\psi_\lambda$ be the radially symmetric solution of

$$\begin{cases} \triangle \log\psi + 2\beta\psi + \beta x\cdot\nabla\psi = 0, \quad \psi>0, \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ \psi(0)=\lambda. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

given by [Hs4] and

$$\phi = \phi_\lambda(x,t) = e^{-2\beta t}\psi_\lambda\left(e^{-\beta t}x\right). \tag{1.5}$$

Whenever there is no ambiguity, we will drop the subscript λ and write ψ, ϕ, instead of ψ_λ, ϕ_λ. Then ϕ satisfies

$$\phi_t = \triangle \log\phi \quad \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty).$$

It was proved by S.Y. Hsu in [Hs4] that the radially symmetric solution ψ of (1.4) satisfies

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{r^2\psi(r)}{\log r} = \frac{2(n-2)}{\beta}. \tag{1.6}$$

A natural question to ask is that if the initial value u_0 has the same decay rate at infinity as $\phi(x,0)=\psi(x)$ given by (1.5), does the solution u of (1.1) behaves like the function ϕ as $t\to\infty$. We answer this question in the affirmative in this paper. We prove that if the initial value u_0 satisfies

$$u_0(x) \approx \frac{2(n-2)}{\beta}\frac{\log|x|}{|x|^2} \quad \text{ as } |x|\to\infty$$

and u is the global maximal solution of (1.1) with $n\ge 3$, then the rescaled function

$$\widetilde{u}(x,t) = e^{2\beta t}u(e^{\beta t}x,t) \tag{1.7}$$

converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ to ψ_{λ_0} as $t\to\infty$ for some constant $\lambda_0>0$. More precisely we prove the following main results of the paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n\ge 3$ and u_0 satisfies*

$$\psi_{\lambda_1}(x) \le u_0(x) \le \psi_{\lambda_2}(x) \quad \forall x\in\mathbb{R}^n \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$u_0 - \psi_{\lambda_0} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{1.9}$$

for some constants $\lambda_2>\lambda_1>0$ and $\lambda_0>0$. Suppose u is the global maximal solution of (1.1) *and $\widetilde{u}$ is given by* (1.7)*. Then $\widetilde{u}$ converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to ψ_{λ_0} as $t\to\infty$. Moreover*

$$\|\widetilde{u}(\cdot,t)-\psi_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le e^{-(n-2)\beta t}\|u_0-\psi_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall t\ge 0. \tag{1.10}$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 3$. Suppose u_0 satisfies*

$$0 \leq u_0(x) \leq \psi_{\lambda_1}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{1.11}$$

and

$$|u_0(x) - \psi_{\lambda_0}(x)| \leq f(|x|) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{1.12}$$

for some nonnegative radially symmetric function f where ψ_{λ_0}, ψ_{λ_1}, are the radially symmetric solutions of (1.4) *with $\lambda = \lambda_0, \lambda_1$, respectively. Then the rescaled function $\widetilde{u}(x,t)$ given by* (1.7) *satisfies* (1.10) *and converges uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to ψ_{λ_0} as $t \to \infty$.*

Note that by Lemma 2.3 proved later that $\psi_\lambda(x)$ is a monotone increasing function of $\lambda > 0$. Hence (1.8) is well-defined. Also by Lemma 2.4 proved later that the condition (1.9) is necessary to guarantee convergence of the rescaled function $\widetilde{u}$ as $t \to \infty$.

Unless stated otherwise we will assume that (1.8) holds for the rest of the paper. Then by (1.6), (1.8), and the result of [H3] there exists a unique global maximal solution u of (1.1) for $n \geq 3$. Note that by direct computation $\tilde{u}$ given by (1.7) satisfies

$$\tilde{u}_t = \triangle \log \tilde{u} + 2\beta \tilde{u} + \beta x \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \quad \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty). \tag{1.13}$$

Then by (1.5), (1.7) and (1.8),

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_{\lambda_1}(x,t) \leq u(x,t) \leq \phi_{\lambda_2}(x,t) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \quad &\psi_{\lambda_1}(x) \leq \widetilde{u}(x,t) \leq \psi_{\lambda_2}(x) \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we will recall and establish some properties of the self-similar solution ϕ. We will prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in section 3 and section 4 respectively.

We start with some definitions. We say that u is a solution of (1.1) if $u > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$ and u satisfies

$$u_t = \Delta \log u$$

in the classical sense in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$ with

$$u(\cdot,t) \to u_0 \quad \text{ in } L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{ as } t \to 0.$$

We say that u is a maximal solution of (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$ if u is a solution of (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0,T)$ and $u \geq v$ for any solution v of (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T)$. For any $R > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $B_R(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - x_0| < R\}$ and $B_R = B_R(0)$. Let ω_n be the surface area of the unit sphere S^{n-1} in $\mathbb{R}^n$. For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, let $a_\pm = \max(\pm a, 0)$.

2. PROPERTIES OF THE SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTION

In this section we will recall and establish some properties of the self-similar solution ϕ. We first recall a result of [Hs4].

Lemma 2.1. *(cf. Lemma 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 of* [Hs4]*) Let $n \geq 2$, $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, such that either $\alpha \geq 0$ or $\beta > 0$. Then there exists a unique solution v of*

$$\left(\frac{v'}{v}\right)' + \frac{n-1}{r} \cdot \frac{v'}{v} + \alpha v + \beta r v' = 0, \quad v > 0, \qquad \text{in } (0,\infty) \tag{2.1}$$

which satisfies

$$v(0) = \lambda \qquad \text{and} \qquad v'(0) = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover

$$v + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} r v' > 0 \quad \text{in } [0,\infty) \quad \text{ if } \alpha \neq 0 \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} v' < 0 \quad \text{in } (0,\infty) \quad \text{if } \alpha > 0 \\ v' > 0 \quad \text{in } (0,\infty) \quad \text{if } \alpha < 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $n \geq 3$, $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha = 2\beta > 0$, and let ψ be the unique solution of (2.1), (2.2), in $(0,\infty)$. Then ψ satisfies*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} r^2\left(\psi(r) + \frac{1}{2}r\psi'(r)\right) = \frac{n-2}{\beta} \tag{2.4}$$

Proof: Let

$$w(r) = r^4\left(\psi(r) + \frac{1}{2}r\psi'(r)\right). \tag{2.5}$$

By direct computation $w(r)$ satisfies

$$w_r + \left(\frac{n-6}{r} - \frac{\psi_r}{\psi} + \beta r\psi\right)w = (n-2)r^3\psi \quad \forall r > 0.$$

Hence

$$\left(r^{n-6}f(r)w(r)\right)_r = (n-2)r^{n-3}f(r)\psi(r) \quad \forall r > 0$$

where

$$f(r) = \frac{\lambda}{\psi(r)}e^{\beta\int_0^r \rho\psi(\rho)\,d\rho}.$$

Integrating over $r \geq 1$,

$$\frac{w(r)}{r^2} = \frac{f(1)w(1)}{r^{n-4}f(r)} + \frac{(n-2)\int_1^r \rho^{n-3}f(\rho)\psi(\rho)\,d\rho}{r^{n-4}f(r)} \quad \forall r > 1. \tag{2.6}$$

By (1.6) there exists a constant $\rho_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{4(n-2)\log\rho}{\beta\rho^2} > \psi(\rho) > \frac{(n-2)\log\rho}{\beta\rho^2}, \qquad \forall\rho > \rho_0. \tag{2.7}$$

Then

$$f(\rho)\psi(\rho) \geq \lambda e^{(n-2)\int_{\rho_0}^{\rho}\frac{\log s}{s}\,ds} \geq \lambda e^{\frac{n-2}{2}\left[(\log\rho)^2 - (\log\rho_0)^2\right]} \quad \forall\rho > \rho_0 \tag{2.8}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \int_1^r \rho^{n-3}f(\rho)\psi(\rho)\,d\rho \to \infty \quad \text{as } r \to \infty. \tag{2.9}$$

By (2.7) and (2.8),

$$r^{n-4}f(r) = \left(\frac{r^{n-4}}{\psi(r)}\right)f(r)\psi(r) \geq \frac{\beta r^{n-2}f(r)\psi(r)}{4(n-2)\log r} \to \infty \qquad \text{as } r \to \infty. \tag{2.10}$$

By (2.6), (2.9), (2.10), and the l'Hospital rule,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{w(r)}{r^2} = \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{(n-2)r^{n-3}f\psi}{(n-4)r^{n-5}f + r^{n-4}\left(\beta r\psi - \frac{\psi_r}{\psi}\right)f} = \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{(n-2)r^2\psi}{(n-4) + r\left(\beta r\psi - \frac{\psi_r}{\psi}\right)}. \tag{2.11}$$

By (2.26) in [Hs4], we get

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\left(2 + \frac{r\psi_r}{\psi}\right) = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Since

$$(n-4) + r\left(\beta r\psi - \frac{\psi_r}{\psi}\right) = (n-2) + \beta r^2\psi - \left(2 + \frac{r\psi_r}{\psi}\right) \tag{2.13}$$

and by (1.6) $r^2\psi(r)\to\infty$ as $r\to\infty$, by (2.11), (2.12), and (2.13), we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{w(r)}{r^2}=\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{(n-2)r^2\psi}{n-2+\beta r^2\psi}=\frac{(n-2)}{\beta}$$

and the lemma follows. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $n\beta>\alpha>0$, $\lambda_2>\lambda_1>0$, and let v_{λ_1}, v_{λ_2} be the radially symmetric solutions of (2.1), (2.2), in $(0,\infty)$ with $\lambda=\lambda_1$, λ_2, respectively. Then*

$$v_{\lambda_2}(r)>v_{\lambda_1}(r)>0,\qquad\forall r\ge 0.$$

Proof: Let $\lambda>0$ and let $v=v_\lambda$ be the solution of (2.1), (2.2), in $(0,\infty)$. Multiplying (2.1) by r^{n-1} and integrating,

$$\begin{aligned}r^{n-1}\frac{v_r(r)}{v(r)}&=-\alpha\int_0^r\rho^{n-1}v(\rho)\,d\rho-\beta\int_0^r\rho^n v_r(\rho)\,d\rho\\&=-\beta r^n v(r)+(n\beta-\alpha)\int_0^r\rho^{n-1}v(\rho)\,d\rho\qquad\forall r>0\\\Rightarrow\quad v_r(r)&=-\beta r v^2(r)+\frac{(n\beta-\alpha)v(r)}{r^{n-1}}\int_0^r\rho^{n-1}v(\rho)\,d\rho\quad\forall r>0.\end{aligned}\tag{2.14}$$

Since $\lambda_2>\lambda_1$, there exists $r_0>0$ such that $(0,r_0)$ is the maximal interval such that

$$v_{\lambda_2}(r)>v_{\lambda_1}(r)>0,\qquad\forall 0\le r<r_0.$$

Suppose $r_0<\infty$. Then

$$v_{\lambda_2,r}(r_0)\le v_{\lambda_1,r}(r_0),\quad v_{\lambda_2}(r_0)=v_{\lambda_1}(r_0)\quad\text{and}\quad\int_0^{r_0}\rho^{n-1}v_{\lambda_2}(\rho)\,d\rho>\int_0^{r_0}\rho^{n-1}v_{\lambda_1}(\rho)\,d\rho.\tag{2.15}$$

Hence, by (2.14) and (2.15),

$$\begin{aligned}v_{\lambda_2,r}(r_0)&=-\beta r_0 v_{\lambda_2}^2(r_0)+\frac{(n\beta-\alpha)v_{\lambda_2}(r_0)}{r_0^{n-1}}\int_0^{r_0}\rho^{n-1}v_{\lambda_2}(\rho)\,d\rho\\&>-\beta r_0 v_{\lambda_1}^2(r_0)+\frac{(n\beta-\alpha)v_{\lambda_1}(r_0)}{r_0^{n-1}}\int_0^{r_0}\rho^{n-1}v_{\lambda_1}(\rho)\,d\rho=v_{\lambda_1,r}(r_0).\end{aligned}\tag{2.16}$$

By (2.15) and (2.16) contradiction arises. Hence $r_0=\infty$ and the lemma follows. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $n\ge 3$, $\beta>0$, and ψ_λ be the radially symmetric solution of (1.4) for any $\lambda>0$. Then $\psi_{\lambda_2}-\psi_{\lambda_1}\notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $\lambda_2>\lambda_1>0$.*

Proof: Let $\alpha=2\beta$ and $\lambda_2>\lambda_1>0$. Since ψ_1 satisfies (2.1) and (2.2) with $\lambda=1$, the function $\lambda\psi_1(\sqrt{\lambda}r)$ is a solution of (2.1) and (2.2) for any $\lambda>0$. Since ψ_λ also satisfies (2.1), (2.2), by Lemma 2.1,

$$\psi_\lambda(x)=\psi_\lambda(|x|)=\lambda\psi_1(\sqrt{\lambda}|x|)\quad\forall x\in\mathbb{R}^n.\tag{2.17}$$

By (2.17) and Lemma 2.1,

$$\psi_{\lambda_2}(x)-\psi_{\lambda_1}(x)=\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2}\frac{\partial\psi_\lambda}{\partial\lambda}\,d\lambda=\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2}\left(\psi_1(\sqrt{\lambda}|x|)+\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}|x|}{2}\psi_1'(\sqrt{\lambda}|x|)\right)d\lambda>0\quad\forall x\in\mathbb{R}^n.\tag{2.18}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\psi_{\lambda_2}-\psi_{\lambda_1})\,dx&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left[\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2}\left(\psi_1(\sqrt{\lambda}|x|)+\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}|x|}{2}\psi_1'(\sqrt{\lambda}|x|)\right)d\lambda\right]dx\\&=\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(\psi_1(\sqrt{\lambda}|x|)+\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}|x|}{2}\psi_1'(\sqrt{\lambda}|x|)\right)dx\right]d\lambda\\&=\omega_n\int_0^\infty\rho^{n-1}\left(\psi_1(\rho)+\frac{\rho}{2}\psi_1'(\rho)\right)d\rho\cdot\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2}\lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}}\,d\lambda\\&=\frac{2\omega_n}{n-2}(\lambda_1^{1-\frac{n}{2}}-\lambda_2^{1-\frac{n}{2}})\int_0^\infty\rho^{n-1}\left(\psi_1(\rho)+\frac{\rho}{2}\psi_1'(\rho)\right)d\rho.\end{aligned}\tag{2.19}$$

By Lemma 2.2 there exist constants $C>0$ and $\rho_0>0$ such that

$$\psi_1(\rho)+\frac{\rho}{2}\psi_1'(\rho)>\frac{C}{\rho^2},\qquad\forall\rho>\rho_0.$$

Since $n\geq 3$, the right hand side of (2.19) is equal to infinity and the lemma follows. □

3. Asymptotic Behavior

In this section we will use a modification of the technique of [DS1] and [HK] to prove the asymptotic large time behaviour of the global maximal solution of (1.1).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\lambda>0$ and $\phi=\phi_\lambda$ be given by* (1.5) *where $\psi_\lambda=\psi$ is the radially symmetric solution of* (1.4). *Suppose u, v, are solutions of* (1.1) *with inital values u_0, v_0, respectively which satisfy $u,\ v\geq\phi$ in $\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty)$. Then for any $T>0$ there exist constants $R_0>0$ and $C>0$ depending on T such that*

$$(i)\quad\left(\int_{B_R(x)}(u-v)_+(y,t)\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq\left(\int_{B_{2R}(x)}(u_0-v_0)_+(y)\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+C\left(\frac{R^{n-2}}{\log R}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$(ii)\quad\left(\int_{B_R(x)}|u-v|(y,t)\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq\left(\int_{B_{2R}(x)}|u_0-v_0|(y)\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+C\left(\frac{R^{n-2}}{\log R}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

holds for any $R\geq R_0+|x|$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $0\leq t\leq T$.

Proof: Let $T>0$. By (1.5) and (1.6) there exist constants $R_1\geq 1$, $C_1>0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{r^2\psi(r)}{\log r}\geq C_1\quad\forall r\geq R_1\\\Rightarrow\quad&(\phi(y,t))^{-1}\leq\frac{|y|^2}{C_1\log(e^{-\beta t}|y|)}\leq\frac{2|y|^2}{C_1\log|y|}\quad\forall|y|\geq R_0:=e^{2\beta T}R_1,0\leq t\leq T.\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

Then by an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [HK] but with the B_k and (2.4) there being replaced by ϕ and (3.1), (i) and (ii) of the lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\lambda>0$ and $\phi=\phi_\lambda$ be given by* (1.5) *where ψ_λ is the radially symmetric solution of* (1.4). *Suppose u, v, are solutions of* (1.1) *with inital values u_0, v_0, respectively which satisfy u, $v\geq\phi$ in $\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty)$. If $f=u_0-v_0\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $u(\cdot,t)-v(\cdot,t)\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t\geq 0$.*

Proof: We will use a modification of the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [DS1] and Lemma 2.2 of [HK] to prove the lemma. Since the proof is similar to that of [DS1] and [HK], we will only sketch the argument here. Let

$$w(x,t)=\int_0^t |(\log u-\log v)|\,(x,s)\,ds.$$

Then by the Kato inequality [Ka],

$$\triangle\,|\log u-\log v|\ge \mathbf{sign}(u-v)\triangle\,(\log u-\log v)\,.$$

Hence by (1.1),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|u-v|\le\triangle\,|\log u-\log v|$$

in the distribution sense in $\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty)$. Integrating the above inequality in time,

$$\triangle w\ge -|f|\qquad\text{on }\mathbb{R}^n. \tag{3.2}$$

Let

$$Z(x)=\frac{1}{n(n-2)\omega_n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-2}}\,dy$$

denote the Newtonian potential of $|f|$. Then by (3.2),

$$\triangle(w-Z)\ge 0 \tag{3.3}$$

in the sense of distributions in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.2 of [HK] by (3.3) and an approximation argument the lemma would follow if we can show that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\frac{1}{R^n}\int_{B_R(x)}w(y,t)\,dy=0\qquad\forall x\in\mathbb{R}^n,t>0. \tag{3.4}$$

Since

$$(\log u-\log v)_+=\left(\log\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)\right)_+\le C\left(\frac{u}{v}-1\right)_+^{\frac{1}{2}}\le C\phi^{-\frac{1}{2}}|u-v|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and similarly,

$$(\log u-\log v)_-\le C\phi^{-\frac{1}{2}}|u-v|^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_R(x)}w(y,t)\,dy\le& C\int_0^t\int_{B_R(x)}\phi^{-\frac{1}{2}}|u-v|^{\frac{1}{2}}\,dyds\\ \le& C\int_0^t\left(\int_{B_R(x)}\phi(y,s)^{-1}\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{B_R(x)}|u-v|\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}ds.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Let $T>0$ and $R_0>1$ be as in the proof of Lemma 3.1. Then (3.1) holds. By (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_R(x)}\phi(y,s)^{-1}\,dy=&\int_{B_R(x)\cap B_{R_0}}\phi(y,t)^{-1}\,dy+\int_{B_R(x)\setminus B_{R_0}}\phi(y,t)^{-1}\,dy\\ \le& C+C\int_{R_0\le|y|\le R+|x|}\frac{|y|^2}{\log|y|}\,dy\\ \le& C\left(1+\frac{R^{n+2}}{R_0}\right)\qquad\forall R>|x|+R_0,0\le s\le T.\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

By (3.5), (3.6), and Lemma 3.1,

$$\int_{B_R(x)}w(y,t)\,dy\le C'\left(1+\frac{R^{n+2}}{R_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left(\frac{R^{n-2}}{\log R}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\qquad\forall R>|x|+R_0,0\le t\le T \tag{3.7}$$

for some constant $C' > 0$ depending on T. Dividing both side of (3.7) by R^n and letting $R \to \infty$ we get (3.4) for any $0 < t < T$. Since $T > 0$ is arbitrary, (3.4) holds for all $t > 0$. □

By an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 2.2 of [DS1] but with Lemma 3.2 replacing Lemma 2.1 of [DS1] in the proof there we get the following result.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\lambda > 0$ and $\phi = \phi_\lambda$ be given by* (1.5) *where ψ_λ is the radially symmetric solution of* (1.4)*. Suppose u, v, are solutions of* (1.1) *with inital values u_0, v_0, respectively which satisfy u, $v \ge \phi$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$. If $f = u_0 - v_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$\|u(\cdot,t) - v(\cdot,t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|u_0 - v_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

Hence if $\widetilde{u}$, $\widetilde{v}$, are the rescale functions of u, v, given by (1.7) *respectively, then*

$$\|\widetilde{u}(\cdot,t) - \widetilde{v}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le e^{-(n-2)\beta t}\|u_0 - v_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall t \ge 0. \tag{3.8}$$

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Let $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $t_i \ge 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $t_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$. By (1.14) the equation (1.13) is uniformly parabolic on $B_R \times [0,\infty)$ for any $R > 0$. By the Schauder estimates for parabolic equation [LSU] the sequence $\widetilde{u}(x,t_i)$ is equi-Hölder continuous in C^2 on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Hence by the Ascoli Theorem and a diagonalization argument the sequence $\widetilde{u}(x,t_i)$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ to some function g as $i \to \infty$. By Lemma 3.3 (3.8) holds with $\widetilde{v} = \psi_{\lambda_0}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\widetilde{u}(\cdot,t_i) - \psi_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le e^{-(n-2)\beta t_i}\|u_0 - \psi_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\ \Rightarrow\quad &\|g - \psi_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0 \quad \text{as } i \to \infty \\ \Rightarrow\quad &g(x) = \psi_{\lambda_0}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $u(x,t_i)$ converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ to ψ_{λ_0} as $i \to \infty$. Since the sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is arbitrary, $u(x,t)$ converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ to ψ_{λ_0} as $t \to \infty$. By Lemma 3.3 we get (1.10) and the theorem follows. □

4. A more general result

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.2 and extend the convergence result of Theorem 1.1 to initial data not necessarily satisfying condition (1.8). We first start with a weaker convergence theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n \ge 3$. Suppose $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies* (1.9) *where ψ_{λ_0} is the radially symmetric solutions of* (1.4) *with $\lambda = \lambda_0$. Suppose u is the maximal solution of* (1.1) *in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$ and $\widetilde{u}(x,t)$ is given by* (1.7)*. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(\cdot,t) - \phi_{\lambda_0}(\cdot,t)|\,dx \le \|u_0 - \psi_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \tag{4.1}$$

and (1.10) *holds. Hence $\widetilde{u}$ converges to ψ_{λ_0} in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \to \infty$.*

Proof: Since the proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.2 of [HK], we will only sketch the proof here. For any $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, let u_λ be the maximal global solution of (1.1) (cf. [H3]) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$ with initial value

$$u_{0,\lambda}(x) = \max(\psi_\lambda(x), u_0(x)).$$

Then by the maximal principle

$$u_\lambda \ge \max(\phi_\lambda(x,t), u(x,t)) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty) \quad \forall 0 < \lambda < \lambda_0. \tag{4.2}$$

and u_λ decreases and converges to u uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$ as $\lambda \searrow 0$. By (4.2) and Lemma 3.3,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_\lambda(\cdot,t) - \phi_{\lambda_0}(\cdot,t)|\, dx \le \|u_0 - \psi_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \quad \forall 0 < \lambda < \lambda_0. \tag{4.3}$$

Letting $\lambda \searrow 0$ in (4.3) we get (4.1). (1.10) then follows directly from (4.1) and the lemma follows. □

We next observe that by (1.6) and an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 5.3 and Corollary 5.4 of [HK] we have the following results.

Proposition 4.2 (cf. Corollary 2.8 of [H3])**.** *Let $n \ge 3$, $\lambda_0 > 0$, and $g(x) = \psi_{\lambda_0}(x,0) - h(x)$ for some radially symmetric function $0 \le h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $g(x) \ge 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then there exists a unique maximal global solution u of* (1.1) *in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$ with initial value g.*

Corollary 4.3. *Let $n \ge 3$ and let $\psi_{\lambda_0}(x) - h(x) \le u_0(x) \le \psi_{\lambda_0}(x)$ for some radially symmetric function $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $0 \le h(x) \le \psi_{\lambda_0}(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then there exists a unique maximal solution u of* (1.1) *in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$ satisfying $0 \le u(x,t) \le \phi_{\lambda_0}(x,t)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$ with initial value u_0.*

Lemma 4.4. *Let $n \ge 3$ and $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy* (1.12) *for some non-negative radially symmetric function f. Suppose u is the maximal solution of* (1.1) *and $\widetilde{u}$ is given by* (1.7)*. Then there exist positive constants C_1, C_2, C_3 such that*

$$C_1 \frac{e^{-C_3\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \log|x|}{1+|x|^2} \le \widetilde{u}(x,t) \le C_2 \frac{e^{C_3\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \log|x|}{1+|x|^2} \quad \forall |x| \ge 3, t \ge 2. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof: We will use a modification of the proof of Proposition 6.2 of [DS1] to prove the lemma. We will first prove (4.4) under the assumption that $u_0(x)$ is radially symmetric in $r = |x| \ge 0$. Let u_λ, $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, be as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 and $t \ge 2$. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2 the function

$$w_\lambda(x) = \int_{t-1}^{t} |\log u_\lambda - \log \phi_{\lambda_0}|(x,\tau)\, d\tau$$

satisfies

$$\triangle(w_\lambda - Z_\lambda) \ge 0 \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \frac{1}{R^n} \int_{B_R(x)} w_\lambda(y)\, dy = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$Z_\lambda(x) = \int_{|x|}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\omega_n \rho^{n-1}} \int_{|y|\le\rho} |u_\lambda - \phi_{\lambda_0}|(y,t-1)\, dy\, d\rho \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \ge 2,$$

is the Newtonian potential of $|u_\lambda - \phi_{\lambda_0}|(\cdot,t-1)$. Then by (4.5), (4.6), and the mean value property for subharmonic function,

$$w_\lambda(x) \le Z_\lambda(x) \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad \forall t \ge 2.$$

Hence

$$w_\lambda(x) \le C_3 \frac{\|(u_\lambda - \phi_{\lambda_0})(\cdot,t-1)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}}{|x|^{n-2}} \quad \forall |x| \ge 1, t \ge 2, \tag{4.7}$$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$. By (1.12), (4.7), and Theorem 4.1,

$$\int_{t-1}^{t} \log \phi_{\lambda_0}(x,\tau)\,d\tau - C_3\frac{\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}}{|x|^{n-2}} \le \int_{t-1}^{t} \log u_\lambda(x,\tau)\,d\tau \le \int_{t-1}^{t} \log \phi_{\lambda_0}(x,\tau)\,d\tau + C_3\frac{\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}}{|x|^{n-2}} \tag{4.8}$$

holds for any $|x| \ge 1$ and $t \ge 2$. By (1.5) and (2.3),

$$\phi_{\lambda_0,t}(r,t) = -2\beta e^{-2\beta t}\left(\psi_{\lambda_0}(\rho) + \frac{1}{2}\rho\psi'_{\lambda_0}(\rho)\right) \le 0 \quad \forall r \ge 0, t > 0, \rho = e^{-\beta t}r.$$

Hence

$$\log \phi_{\lambda_0}(x,t) \le \int_{t-1}^{t} \log \phi_{\lambda_0}(x,\tau)\,d\tau \le \log \phi_{\lambda_0}(x,t-1). \tag{4.9}$$

Since by Lemma 2.1 $\psi'_{\lambda_0}(r) < 0$ for all $r > 0$, we have

$$\phi_{\lambda_0}(x,t-1) = e^{-2\beta(t-1)}\psi_{\lambda_0}(e^{-\beta(t-1)}x) \le e^{2\beta}e^{-2\beta t}\psi_{\lambda_0}(e^{-\beta t}x) = e^{2\beta}\phi_{\lambda_0}(x,t). \tag{4.10}$$

By (4.8), (4.9), and (4.10),

$$\log\left(\frac{\phi_{\lambda_0}(x,t-1)}{C_4}\right) \le \int_{t-1}^{t} \log u_\lambda(x,\tau)\,d\tau \le \log\left(C_4\phi_{\lambda_0}(x,t)\right) \qquad \forall |x| \ge 1, t \ge 2, \tag{4.11}$$

where $C_4 = e^{2\beta + C_3\|f\|_{L^1}}$. Since u_{λ_0} satisfies the Aronson-Benilan inequality (cf. [H3]),

$$u_t \le \frac{u}{t} \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\tau}{t}u_\lambda(x,t) \le u_\lambda(x,\tau) \le \frac{\tau}{t-1}u_\lambda(x,t-1) && \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t-1 \le \tau \le t, t \ge 2\\
\Rightarrow\quad &\log\left(\frac{t-1}{t}\,u_\lambda(x,t)\right) \le \int_{t-1}^{t} \log u_\lambda(x,\tau)\,d\tau \le \log\left(\frac{t}{t-1}\,u_\lambda(x,t-1)\right) && \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \ge 2\\
\Rightarrow\quad &\log\left(\frac{u_\lambda(x,t)}{2}\right) \le \int_{t-1}^{t} \log u_\lambda(x,\tau)\,d\tau \le \log(2u_\lambda(x,t-1)) && \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \ge 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

By (4.11) and (4.12),

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{u_\lambda(x,t)}{2} \le C_4\phi_{\lambda_0}(x,t) & \forall |x| \ge 1, t \ge 2\\ \dfrac{\phi_{\lambda_0}(x,t-1)}{C_4} \le 2u_\lambda(x,t-1) & \forall |x| \ge 1, t \ge 2. \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

Letting $\lambda \to 0$ in (4.13),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2C_4}\phi_{\lambda_0}(x,t) \le u(x,t) \le 2C_4\phi_{\lambda_0}(x,t) \quad \forall\, |x| \ge 1, t \ge 2\\
\Rightarrow\quad &\frac{1}{2C_4}\psi_{\lambda_0}(y) \le \widetilde{u}(y,t) \le 2C_4\psi_{\lambda_0}(y) \quad \forall\, |y| \ge 1, t \ge 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

By (4.14) and (1.6), we get (4.4) for some constants $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$.

When $u_0(x)$ is not radially symmetric and satisfies (1.12), by the above result for the radially symmetric initial data case and an argument similar to the last step of the proof of Proposition 6.2 of [DS1] on p.118 of [DS1] we get (4.4) for some constants $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$, and the lemma follows. □

Corollary 4.5. *Let n, u_0, u, $\widetilde{u}$, be as in Lemma 4.4. Then there exists a constant $C_4 > 0$ such that*

$$\widetilde{u}(x,t) \ge C_4 \frac{\max(1, \log|x|)}{1+|x|^2} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \ge 2. \tag{4.15}$$

Proof: By Lemma 4.4 there exist constants $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$, $C_3 > 0$, such that (4.4) holds. Let

$$C_4' = \min\left((C_1/10)(\log 3)e^{-C_3\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}}, \min_{|x|\le 3} \widetilde{u}(x,2)\right).$$

Since $\widetilde{u}$ satisfies (1.13), by applying the maximal principle to $\widetilde{u}$ in $B_3 \times (2,\infty)$ we get

$$\widetilde{u}(x,t) \ge C_4' \quad \forall |x| \le 3, t \ge 2. \tag{4.16}$$

By (4.4) and (4.16) there exists a constant $C_4 > 0$ such that (4.15) holds. □

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: By (1.11) and the maximal principle,

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 \le u(x,t) \le \phi_{\lambda_1}(x,t) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0 \\ \Rightarrow \quad & 0 \le \widetilde{u}(x,t) \le \psi_{\lambda_1}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

By Corollary 4.5 and (4.17) for any $R > 0$, there exist constants $C_5 > 0$, $C_6 > 0$, such that

$$C_5 \le \widetilde{u}(x,t) \le C_6 \quad \forall |x| \le R, t \ge 2. \tag{4.18}$$

By (4.18) the equation (1.13) is uniformly parabolic on $B_R \times [2,\infty)$ for any $R > 0$. Let $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence such that $t_i \ge 3$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $t_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$. By the Schauder estimates for parabolic equation [LSU] the sequence $\widetilde{u}(x,t_i)$ is equi-Hölder continuous in C^2 on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem and a diagonalization argument the sequence $\{\widetilde{u}(x,t_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ has a convergent subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges uniformly in C^2 on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ to some C^2 function w of as $i \to \infty$. On the other hand by Theorem 4.1 $\widetilde{u}(x,t)$ satisfies (1.10). Hence $\widetilde{u}(x,t)$ converges to ψ_{λ_0} in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \to \infty$ and $w = \psi_{\lambda_0}$. Thus $\widetilde{u}(x,t_i)$ converges uniformly in C^2 on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ to ψ_{λ_0} as $i \to \infty$. Since the sequence is arbitrary, $\widetilde{u}(x,t)$ converges uniformly in C^2 on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ to ψ_{λ_0} as $t \to \infty$ and the theorem follows. □

References

[A] D.G. Aronson, *The porous medium equation, CIME Lectures*, in Some problems in Nonlinear Diffusion, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1224, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

[DK] P. Daskalopoulos and C.E. Kenig, *Degenerate Diffusions-Initial Value Problems and Local Regularity Theory*, EMS Tracts in Mathematics 1, European Mathematical Society, 2007.

[DP1] P. Daskalopoulos and M.A. del Pino, *On a singular diffusion equation*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 3 (1995), no. 3, 523–542.

[DP2] P. Daskalopoulos and M.A. del Pino, *On the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta \log u$ in higher dimensions*, Math. Ann. 313 (1999), 189–206.

[DP3] P. Daskalopoulos and M.A. del Pino, *Type II collapsing of maximal solutions to the Ricci flow in $\mathbb{R}^2$*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linaire 24 (2007), 851–874.

[DS1] P. Daskalopoulos, N. Sesum, *On the extinction profile of solutios to fast diffusion* J. Reine Angew. Math. 622 (2008), 95-119

[DS2] P. Daskalopoulos and N. Sesum, *Type II extinction profile of maximal solutions to the Ricci flow equation*, J. Geom. Anal. 20 (2010), no. 3, 565–591.

[ERV] J.R. Esteban, A. Rodriguez and J.L. Vazquez, *The maximal solution of the logarithmic fast diffusion equation in two space dimensions*, Advances in Diff. Eq. 2 (1997), no. 6, 867–894.

[Hs1] S.Y. Hsu, *Global existence and uniqueness of solutions of the Ricci flow equation*, Differential Integral Equations 14 (2001), no. 3, 305–320.

[Hs2] S.Y. Hsu, *Large time behaviour of solutions of the Ricci flow equation on* R^2, Pacific J. Math. 197 (2001), no. 1, 25–41.

[Hs3] S.Y. Hsu, *Asymptotic profile of solutions of a singular diffusion equation as* $t \to \infty$, Nonlinear Analysis TMA, 48 (2002), no. 6, 781–790.

[Hs4] S.Y. Hsu, *Classification of radially symmetric self-similar solutions of* $u_t = \triangle \log u$ *in higher dimensions*, Differential and Integral Equations, 18 (2005), no. 10, 1175-1192.

[H1] K.M. Hui, *Existence of solutions of the equation* $u_t = \Delta log\, u$, Nonlinear Analysis TMA 37 (1999), no. 7, 875–914.

[H2] K.M. Hui, *Singular limit of solutions of the equation* $u_t = \Delta(\frac{u^m}{m})$ *as* $m \to 0$, Pacific J. Math. 187 (1999), no. 2, 297–316.

[H3] K.M. Hui, *On Some Dirichlet and Cauchy Problems for a Singular Diffusion Equation*, Differential Integral Equations 15 (2002), no. 7, 769-804.

[H4] K.M. Hui, *Collapsing behaviour of a singular diffusion equation*, to appear in Discrete Contin. Dynamical Systems-Series A.

[HK] K.M. Hui and S. Kim, *Extinction profile of the logarithmic diffusion equation*, http://arxiv.org/abs /1012.1915v2.

[K] T.G. Kurtz, *Convergence of sequences of semigroups of nonlinear operators with an application to gas kinetics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 186 (1973), 259–272.

[Ka] T. Kato, *Schrödinger operators with singular potentials*, Israel J. Math. 13 (1973), 135-148.

[Ki] J.R. King, *Extremely high concentration dopant diffusion in silicon*, IMA J. Appl. Math. 40 (1998), 163–181.

[LSU] O.A. Ladyzenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov and N.N. Uraltceva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, Transl. Math. Mono. vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., USA, 1968.

[LT] P.L. Lions and G. Toscani, *Diffusive limit for finite velocity Boltzmann kinetic models*, Revista Matematica Iberoamericana 13 (1997), no. 3, 473–513.

[P] L.A. Peletier, *The porous medium equation* in Applications of Nonlinear Analysis in the Physical Sciences, H. Amann, N. Bazley, K. Kirchgassner editors, Pitman, Boston, 1981.

[W1] L.F. Wu, *A new result for the porous medium equation derived from the Ricci flow*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (1993), 90–94.

[W2] L.F. Wu, *The Ricci flow on* R^2, Comm. Anal. Geom. 1 (1993), 439–472.

Kin Ming Hui: Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, 10617, Taiwan, R.O.C.
E-mail address: `kmhui@gate.sinica.edu.tw`

Sunghoon Kim: Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, 10617, Taiwan, R.O.C.
E-mail address: `gauss79@math.sinica.edu.tw`